## POLICY MATTERS OHIO

2912 EUCLID AVENUE ◆ CLEVELAND, OHIO, 44115 ◆ TEL: 216/931-9922 ◆ FAX: 216/931-9924 AHANAUER@POLICYMATTERSOHIO.ORG ◆ HTTP://WWW.POLICYMATTERSOHIO.ORG/

FOR RELEASE THURSDAY, FEB. 3, 2005 Contact: Zach Schiller, (216) 931-9922

## State income-tax cut would be expensive, unequal and siphon money out of Ohio

A 22 percent reduction in Ohio's state income tax would cost the state more than \$2 billion in annual revenue and would go overwhelmingly to the state's most affluent taxpayers. Roughly one in seven of the dollars that the state government would no longer collect would wind up not with Ohio taxpayers but in additional taxes paid to the federal government.

Those are the conclusions of an analysis of the tax-cut proposal released today by Policy Matters Ohio, based on calculations made by the Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy (ITEP), a research group in Washington, D.C., with a sophisticated model of the national and state tax systems. This type of tax cut has been roughly outlined in news reports as a possible part of a larger tax proposal being discussed by the Ohio Business Roundtable and Gov. Bob Taft.

The 1 percent of Ohio taxpayers who make at least \$274,000 a year with an average income of \$643,000 would save an average \$8,464 a year if such a change were implemented. This group would receive 23 percent of all the tax savings – more than half again as much as the total amount received by the bottom 60 percent of Ohio taxpayers, who each make less than \$43,400 a year. Taxpayers who make less than \$16,000 – the bottom 20 percent of taxpayers by income – would save an average of just \$12 a year.

While an across-the-board cut may appear to affect taxpayers equally, in fact the most affluent taxpayers would save much more of their income than lower- and middle-income taxpayers. The top 1 percent of taxpayers would save 1.3 percent of their income, while the middle 20 percent would save only 0.5 percent, and the bottom 20 percent just 0.1 percent. That's because richer Ohioans pay steeper rates under the state's graduated income tax.

Such a rate reduction is likely to bring a transfer of income from middle-income Ohioans to the richer taxpayers. Given the looming budget deficit, the state would have to finance such a reduction through increases in other taxes. Some news reports have indicated it might be paid through the sales tax. However, lower- and middle-income taxpayers pay a greater share of their income in sales tax than upper-income taxpayers do. Thus, paying for income-tax cuts with sales-tax revenue would transfer income to rich Ohioans from lower- and middle-income taxpayers. Extending the penny increase in the sales tax that is

expiring at the end of June also would not produce anywhere near enough revenue to pay for a 22 percent cut in income-tax rates.

ITEP's figures indicate that an across-the-board 22 percent reduction would reduce state revenue by over \$2 billion a year. However, nearly one in every seven dollars no longer collected by the state -- \$284 million -- would end up going to the federal government, not Ohio taxpayers. That's because the cut in state income taxes would leave taxpayers with less to deduct on their federal tax forms, forcing them to pay higher U.S. taxes. That is one major reason why such a tax reduction would not bring a stronger Ohio economy.

The table below illustrates how reducing all rates by 22 percent would affect various income groups in Ohio (note that this analysis does not include other tax changes that might be included in a package):

Estimated Change by Income Level from Reducing All Rates of Ohio's Individual Income Tax by 22 percent All Ohio Taxpayers, 2004												
2004			1 01110 1 112	154,015,20								
Income	Lowest	Second	Middle	Fourth	Next							
Group	20%	20%	20%	20%	15%	Next 4%	Top 1%					
Income	Less	\$16,000	\$28,000	\$43,000	\$66,000	\$119,000	\$274,000					
Range	than	to	to	to	to	to	or more					
	\$16,000	\$28,000	\$43,000	\$66,000	\$119,000	\$274,000						
Average Income in												
Group	\$10,000	\$22,000	\$36,000	\$54,000	\$85,000	\$166,000	\$643,000					
State Tax												
Cut as a % of							-1.3%					
Income	-0.1%	-0.3%	-0.5%	-0.6%	-0.8%	-1.0%						
\$ Average												
Tax Cut	\$ -12	\$ -71	\$-170	\$ -313	\$ - 666	\$-1,680	\$ -8,464					
Share of												
State Tax	1%	4%	9%	17%	27%	18%	23%					
Cut												

Source: Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, January 2005

There is little evidence to support claims that Ohio income-tax rates are hampering economic growth. States such as North Carolina and California, with among the highest top income-tax rates in the nation, developed high-technology centers that we envy. A recent survey of the economic literature by Robert G. Lynch, chair of the economics department at Washington College, found little grounds to support tax cuts as the best way to spur growth, particularly when the cuts result in reduced public investment.

Some news reports have described a plan that would reduce all rates and also eliminate the bottom bracket. That would eliminate the 0.743 percent rate paid on the first \$5,000 of income (personal exemptions and credits reduce the actual amount due). While eliminating the bottom bracket would increase the gain for low-income taxpayers to an average of \$23, it would not significantly alter who benefited. The table below illustrates how reducing all rates by 22 percent and eliminating the bottom bracket would affect various income groups in Ohio. The richest 1 percent of Ohioans each would receive an average of \$8,493, or 22 percent of the total.

Estimated Change by Income Level of Reducing All Rates Across the Board by 22% and Eliminating the Bottom Bracket											
All Ohio Taxpayers, 2004											
2004											
Income	Lowest	Second	Middle	Fourth							
Group	20%	20%	20%	20%	Next 15%	Next 4%	Top 1%				
Income		\$16,000	\$28,000	\$43,000	\$66,000	\$119,000					
Range	Less than	to	to	to	to	to	\$274,000				
	\$16,000	\$28,000	\$43,000	\$66,000	\$119,000	\$274,000	or More				
Average											
Income in											
Group	\$10,000	\$22,000	\$36,000	\$54,000	\$85,000	\$166,000	\$643,000				
State Tax											
Cut as a %											
of Income	-0.2%	-0.4%	-0.5%	-0.6%	-0.8%	-1.0%	-1.3%				
\$ Average											
Tax Change	\$ –23	\$ -93	\$ -195	\$ -338	\$ -693	-1,708	\$ -8,493				
Share of											
State Tax											
Cut	1%	5%	10%	17%	27%	18%	22%				

Source: Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, January 2005

Current Ohio law already reduces income-tax rates for all taxpayers when the state is running a budget surplus. Under these reductions, rates were reduced between 1996 and 2000 by a total of more than \$2 billion.

Ohio's graduated income tax is a crucial element in keeping overall state and local taxes from being overwhelmingly tilted against middle class and low-income taxpayers. Other taxes require that Ohio's less affluent citizens pay more as a share of their incomes than richer taxpayers do. As a result, high-income taxpayers pay a smaller share of their earnings in state and local taxes than Ohioans who make less. The income tax partially offsets this, and requires that more affluent people pay more, as they can afford to do.

The bottom 60 percent of Ohio's workers have seen little or no increase in real hourly pay over the past 25 years. By contrast, the top 10 percent saw 19 percent growth

between 1979 and 2003. An across-the-board cut in income-tax rates would increase this growing inequality.

Policy Matters Ohio is a nonprofit, nonpartisan institute that does research on issues that matter to low- and middle-income Ohioans, on the web at <a href="www.policymattersohio.org">www.policymattersohio.org</a>. The Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy is a nonprofit, nonpartisan Washington—based research group, on the web at <a href="www.itepnet.org">www.itepnet.org</a>. Its tax model is similar in methodology and data sources to the elaborate computer models used by the U.S. Treasury and the congressional Joint Committee on Taxation, except that the ITEP model adds state-by-state estimating capabilities. The figures show the effects of 2004 state and local tax laws, at projected 2004 income levels.