House tax plan: A gift to Ohio’s wealthiest

By Zach Schiller

The budget approved by the Ohio House of Representatives last week would deliver thousands of dollars a year in average tax cuts to the most affluent while providing so little to the poorest Ohioans it wouldn’t be enough for a gallon of milk. The average middle-income resident would get enough to buy a six-slice toaster oven.

Close to half of the tax cuts would go to the 5 percent of Ohioans who made more than $163,000 last year, according to an analysis done for Policy Matters Ohio by the Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, a Washington, D.C.-based research group with a sophisticated model of the tax system. By contrast, the three-fifths of taxpayers who made less than $58,000 together would receive just 14 percent of the total tax cut.

The House tax plan includes a 6.3 percent across-the-board cut in income-tax rates, an expansion of an existing tax break for business owners, and the limitation of Social Security income-tax deduction and four retirement income or senior credits to those with below $100,000 in annual income. It differs substantially from the proposal Gov. Kasich offered, which called for a 23 percent income-tax rate cut, higher personal exemptions for those making less than $80,000, a larger and different business tax break, and a number of tax increases to help pay for the bigger income-tax reductions.

The analysis found that the proposal would provide a $3,568 annual tax cut on average to taxpayers in the top 1 percent of the income spectrum, who made more than $388,000 in 2014. The bottom fifth of Ohio taxpayers, who made less than $20,000 last year, on average would see just a $2 reduction. Most of this group would see no benefit at all because many of the poorest Ohioans pay little or no state income tax, though overall they pay more of their income in state and local taxes than more affluent Ohioans do. Middle-income Ohioans making between $37,000 and $58,000 on average would get just a $52 annual tax cut under the bill.

Table 1 provides the breakdown of the overall impact of the major changes in the House bill:
The biggest element in the House bill, and its tilt in favor of upper-income Ohioans, is the income-tax rate cut. However, means-testing of the Social Security deduction and retirement and senior credits is a worthwhile step. It will make the tax system fairer and is financially responsible, as it preserves revenue as the population ages. But it merely reduces the windfall in the tax plan for Ohio’s wealthiest, and instead of supporting these tax cuts should be used to support vital public services.

Expanding the business income deduction first approved in 2013 also will further weight the tax system against low- and middle-income Ohioans. During the first year the existing deduction was in effect, the 6 percent of those claiming the break with business income of $200,000 or more received more than a third of the total tax break.1 Yet the tax break is poorly targeted and can’t be expected to result in much job creation. Over the 21 months since the tax break was approved, Ohio jobs have grown no faster than they did in the earlier one. U.S. jobs grew faster during the more recent period than they did in the first half of 2014 from a year earlier.2 New employment at Ohio companies hiring for the first time, which grew somewhat after the economic slump, fell in the first half of 2014 from a year earlier.3

The House tax plan does not shift who pays taxes to lower- and middle-income Ohioans to the same degree as Gov. Kasich’s original tax proposal. As shown previously,4 under his plan, the top 1 percent of Ohioans as a group would pay more. Table 2, based on an earlier analysis ITEP did of the Kasich plan, breaks out how it would affect those in different income groups:

---

1 Calculations are based on data from the Ohio Department of Taxation as of March 18, 2015. Figures include claims through that date.
2 The number of Ohio jobs grew by 129,700 between June 2013 and March 2015, or 2.47 percent. During the previous 21 months, they grew by 133,000, or 2.60 percent. By contrast, U.S. jobs grew faster during the more recent period than they did in the earlier one. U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, State and Area Employment, Hours and Earnings, at http://data.bls.gov/cgi-bin/surveymost?sm+39
### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact of tax changes in Gov. Kasich’s budget proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lowest 20%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax change as % of income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


However, though the House bill reduces the income-tax cuts, it would more than double the size of the total net tax cut to $1.2 billion over two years compared to Gov. Kasich’s plan. Ohio can ill afford such tax cuts, which will go mostly to well-off Ohioans, when we have gaping needs. We need to restore aid to local governments. We need to help more of our young people afford college. We need to help more families pay for childcare, so the parents can work. We languish near the bottom among states in public health indicators. These are just a few of our unmet needs.

Moreover, the House plan is based on more optimistic revenue projections than Gov. Kasich’s proposed budget. The House used baseline projections for Fiscal Years 2016 and 2017 from the Legislative Service Commission, which call for greater revenue than those from the administration’s Office of Budget and Management. OBM Director Tim Keen did not specifically revise his projections downward during testimony to the Senate Finance Committee last week, but he cautioned that the economic growth forecast by Global Insight had been slightly reduced over the last three months. “Although these revisions are not large,” Keen said, “they have the potential to exert downward pressure on the updated revenue forecast presented to the budget conference committee.”

A final forecast is presented to the conference committee in June before the budget is finalized.

Income-tax cuts flow heavily to the most affluent, further increasing inequality in Ohio. A separate ITEP analysis issued in January 2015 found that overall, nonelderly Ohioans in the top 1 percent pay...
considerably less of their income in state and local taxes than middle-class and poor Ohioans do.\(^9\) Previous income-tax rate cuts have done little for our economy.\(^10\) In June 2005, the Ohio General Assembly approved a 21 percent phased-in reduction of income-tax rates; the cuts were increased further in 2013. Since June 2005, Ohio has lost 0.5 percent of its jobs, while the nation as a whole has grown by 5.4 percent. The House tax plan needs a makeover.

---

Data Notes

The ITEP analyses cover Ohio residents and are based on 2014 income levels. The analysis of House Bill 64, the House budget bill, includes the 6.3 percent reduction in income-tax rates, the expansion of the deduction for passthrough business income, and the means-testing of the deduction for Social Security, the $50 senior credit and the retirement income credit. It does not include the means-testing of two small lump-sum retirement or senior credits, the elimination of the political contribution credit and other, smaller tax changes.

The analysis of Gov. Kasich’s proposal includes eliminating the income tax on income from passthrough businesses with $2 million or less in annual receipts, reducing income-tax rates by 23 percent over two years, increasing personal exemptions for those with income of less than $80,000, and means-testing the deduction for Social Security, the $50 senior credit and retirement income credit. The analysis also includes the proposed increase in the state sales-tax rate by half a penny, the extension of the sales tax to additional services (including the local sales tax on that larger base), the reduction of the motor-vehicle trade-in tax exemption to 50 percent and capping the vendor discount for collecting the sales tax. In addition, it covers increasing the Commercial Activity Tax rate from 0.26 percent to 0.32 percent while lowering the alternative minimum CAT tax for companies with gross receipts between $1 million and $2 million, increasing the cigarette tax by $1.25 a pack and the other tobacco products (OTP) tax rate from 17 percent to 60 percent and eliminating the discount for early payment of both the cigarette tax and the OTP tax.

Gov. Kasich’s proposal to increase the severance tax on oil and gas is not included in the overall analysis, but that would mostly be paid by out-of-state taxpayers. Also excluded from the analysis is the cigarette floor stock tax, a one-time measure; the elimination of the deduction for early filing and payment of beer and wine tax, the reduction of the watercraft trade-in exemption to 50 percent, the means-testing of the two small senior or retirement credits, and the proposed vapor product tax.

---
