

| Table 1 | | | |
|---|---|---|-----------------|
| Tax | Loophole | Estimated foregone state revenue in FY2009 | Approved |
| Individual Income Tax | Loosened residency test, allowing more people to avoid the tax | \$25 million to \$30 million | 2006 |
| Real property tax | Homestead exemption expansion allows even wealthy homeowners to qualify | At least \$118 million | 2007 |
| Real property tax | Owners do not have to pay 10 percent of their tax; owners who occupy their properties receive an additional 2.5 percent rollback. The state reimburses schools and local governments for foregone revenue | At least \$5.2 million (A) | 1971, 1979 |
| Dealers in Intangibles Tax | Payday lenders, mortgage brokers and others pay lower tax than banks | More than \$10 million (B) | 1931 |
| Commercial Activity Tax/Individual Income Tax | Trusts formed before 1972 can choose which tax to pay | Up to \$18 million | 2006 |
| Commercial Activity Tax | Companies with previous big losses can write them off against the CAT | Up to \$45 million a year starting in 2010 | 2005 |
| Commercial Activity Tax | Suppliers to certain distribution centers don't pay the tax (C) | \$6 million | 2006 |
| Sales Tax | Machinery, equipment and software for a new Avon Products distribution center | At least \$3.47 million (D) | 2008 |
| Sales Tax | Lobbying and public relations services are not covered | \$11.6 million (E) | 1935 |
| Sales Tax | Debt collection is not covered | \$21.5 million (E) | 1935 |
| Corporate Franchise Tax | Goodwill, appreciation and abandoned property excluded from net worth tax on financial institutions | NA (F) | 1933 |

(A) -- Based on 2003 estimate by the Taft Administration of revenue gained in FY2005 if tax relief were limited to the first \$1 million in market value of each property. A lower limit would produce more revenue. The 10% rollback was approved in 1971; the 2.5% rollback was approved in 1979.

(B) -- Based on an estimated \$21 million in additional revenue if these companies were instead taxed under the corporate franchise tax, reduced by half based on possible exclusions they might claim

(C) -- Such distribution centers must have at least \$500 million in sales and more than half of those must be shipped outside of Ohio

(D) -- This is a one-time amount for the outfitting of the warehouse. However, the 2007 Tax Expenditure Report estimates the cost of the ongoing exemption for retailers' warehouses at \$6.4 million

(E) -- Based on \$10.5 million for lobbying and \$19.5 million for debt collection shown in Taft proposal for FY2005; the sales tax has been increased from 5.0% to 5.5% since then. The exclusion of these services from taxation is a function of the general definition of the sales-tax base, not an explicit exemption.

(F) -- The current Tax Expenditure Report estimates these exclusions are worth \$112 million in FY09. While tightening this exemption could produce millions of dollars in additional revenue, the exact amount is not known

Source: Policy Matters Ohio, "Limiting Loopholes: A dozen tax breaks Ohio can do without", 2008