

Table A-1

Change in all-family caseload under Ohio Works First

County	January 2011			September 2013			Change from 2011 to 2013		
	Denominator (caseload)	Numerator (number in work participation)	Work participation rate (WPR)	Denominator (caseload)	Numerator (number in work participation)	Work participation rate (WPR)	Change in caseload	Change in number in work participation	Change in WPR percentage points
ADAMS	44	5	11.36%	14	11	78.57%	(30)	6	67.21
ALLEN	67	22	32.84%	28	10	35.71%	(39)	(12)	2.88
ASHLAND	56	25	44.64%	11	6	54.55%	(45)	(19)	9.90
ASHTABULA	351	95	27.07%	184	94	51.09%	(167)	(1)	24.02
ATHENS	510	164	32.16%	502	289	57.57%	(8)	125	25.41
AUGLAIZE	22	5	22.73%	2	1	50.00%	(20)	(4)	27.27
BELMONT	156	50	32.05%	52	44	84.62%	(104)	(6)	52.56
BROWN	37	27	72.97%	21	17	80.95%	(16)	(10)	7.98
BUTLER	444	136	30.63%	133	98	73.68%	(311)	(38)	43.05
CARROLL	123	25	20.33%	15	8	53.33%	(108)	(17)	33.01
CHAMPAIGN	181	83	45.86%	35	16	45.71%	(146)	(67)	-0.14
CLARK	840	138	16.43%	118	82	69.49%	(722)	(56)	53.06
CLERMONT	298	117	39.26%	104	64	61.54%	(194)	(53)	22.28
CLINTON	69	25	36.23%	8	5	62.50%	(61)	(20)	26.27
COLUMBIANA	221	119	53.85%	97	68	70.10%	(124)	(51)	16.26
COSHOCTON	230	62	26.96%	55	42	76.36%	(175)	(20)	49.41
CRAWFORD	182	26	14.29%	23	11	47.83%	(159)	(15)	33.54
CUYAHOGA	4,331	802	18.52%	2,034	892	43.85%	(2,297)	90	25.34
DARKE	159	56	35.22%	29	19	65.52%	(130)	(37)	30.30%
DEFIANCE	82	45	54.88%	5	5	100.00%	(77)	(40)	45.12
DELAWARE	301	42	13.95%	83	51	61.45%	(218)	9	47.49
ERIE	267	56	20.97%	18	9	50.00%	(249)	(47)	29.03
FAIRFIELD	430	171	39.77%	165	110	66.67%	(265)	(61)	26.90
FAYETTE	220	44	20.00%	39	24	61.54%	(181)	(20)	41.54

County	January 2011			September 2013			Change from 2011 to 2013		
	Denominator (caseload)	Numerator (number in work participation)	Work participation rate (WPR)	Denominator (caseload)	Numerator (number in work participation)	Work participation rate (WPR)	Change in caseload	Change in number in work participation	Change in WPR percentage points
FRANKLIN	6,615	1,420	21.47%	2,194	1,173	53.46%	(4,421)	(247)	32.00%
FULTON	0	0	/0	0	0	/0	0	0	n/a
GALLIA	348	32	9.20%	98	67	68.37%	(250)	35	59.17
GEAUGA	37	17	45.95%	9	4	44.44%	(28)	(13)	-1.50
GREENE	383	129	33.68%	110	73	66.36%	(273)	(56)	32.68
GUERNSEY	155	85	54.84%	68	27	39.71%	(87)	(58)	-15.13
HAMILTON	4,478	2,221	49.60%	2,594	1,470	56.67%	(1,884)	(751)	7.07
HANCOCK	7	1	14.29%	4	2	50.00%	(3)	1	35.71
HARDIN	52	21	40.38%	6	6	100.00%	(46)	(15)	59.62
HARRISON	103	11	10.68%	34	16	47.06%	(69)	5	36.38
HENRY	18	9	50.00%	3	3	100.00%	(15)	(6)	50.00
HIGHLAND	345	43	12.46%	61	35	57.38%	(284)	(8)	44.91
HOCKING	253	82	32.41%	*	*	*	*	*	*
HOLMES	52	32	61.54%	9	7	77.78%	(43)	(25)	16.24
HURON	243	78	32.10%	33	18	54.55%	(210)	(60)	22.45
JACKSON	184	36	19.57%	66	35	53.03%	(118)	(1)	33.47
JEFFERSON	360	143	39.72%	138	107	77.54%	(222)	(36)	37.81
KNOX	243	77	31.69%	78	51	65.38%	(165)	(26)	33.70
LAKE	424	82	19.34%	330	231	70.00%	(94)	149	50.66
LAWRENCE	300	44	14.67%	8	5	62.50%	(292)	(39)	47.83
LICKING	462	179	38.74%	108	70	64.81%	(354)	(109)	26.07
LOGAN	220	16	7.27%	76	35	46.05%	(144)	19	38.78
LORAIN	993	259	26.08%	279	181	64.87%	(714)	(78)	38.79

County	January 2011			September 2013			Change from 2011 to 2013		
	Denominator (caseload)	Numerator (number in work participation)	Work participation rate (WPR)	Denominator (caseload)	Numerator (number in work participation)	Work participation rate (WPR)	Change in caseload	Change in number in work participation	Change in WPR percentage points
LUCAS	2,554	767	30.03%	1,003	532	53.04%	(1,551)	(235)	23.01
MADISON	235	49	20.85%	36	20	55.56%	(199)	(29)	34.70
MAHONING	2,009	412	20.51%	984	583	59.25%	(1,025)	171	38.74
MARION	292	72	24.66%	25	15	60.00%	(267)	(57)	35.34
MEDINA	137	75	54.74%	62	36	58.06%	(75)	(39)	3.32
MEIGS	123	36	29.27%	80	49	61.25%	(43)	13	31.98
MERCER	89	29	32.58%	21	12	57.14%	(68)	(17)	24.56
MIAMI	203	46	22.66%	44	32	72.73%	(159)	(14)	50.07
MONROE	22	10	45.45%	7	6	85.71%	(15)	(4)	40.26
MONTGOMERY	2,979	586	19.67%	947	529	55.86%	(2,032)	(57)	36.19
MORGAN	61	19	31.15%	3	3	100.00%	(58)	(16)	68.85
MORROW	163	14	8.59%	4	4	100.00%	(159)	(10)	91.41
MUSKINGUM	586	181	30.89%	164	105	64.02%	(422)	(76)	33.14
NOBLE	17	9	52.94%	3	2	66.67%	(14)	(7)	13.73
OTTAWA	89	42	47.19%	40	21	52.50%	(49)	(21)	5.31
PAULDING	74	8	10.81%	4	0	0.00%	(70)	(8)	-10.81
PERRY	210	78	37.14%	65	50	76.92%	(145)	(28)	39.78
PICKAWAY	360	68	18.89%	33	18	54.55%	(327)	(50)	35.66
PIKE	293	27	9.22%	95	37	38.95%	(198)	10	29.73
PORTAGE	354	145	40.96%	89	49	55.06%	(265)	(96)	14.10
PREBLE	113	40	35.40%	18	12	66.67%	(95)	(28)	31.27
PUTNAM	30	7	23.33%	8	4	50.00%	(22)	(3)	26.67
RICHLAND	825	197	23.88%	325	196	60.31%	(500)	(1)	36.43
ROSS	354	100	28.25%	*	*	*	*	*	*
SANDUSKY	94	31	32.98%	27	21	77.78%	(67)	(10)	44.80
SCIOTO	350	107	30.57%	107	60	56.07%	(243)	(47)	25.50

County	January 2011			September 2013			Change from 2011 to 2013		
	Denominator (caseload)	Numerator (number in work participation)	Work participation rate (WPR)	Denominator (caseload)	Numerator (number in work participation)	Work participation rate (WPR)	Change in caseload	Change in number in work participation	Change in WPR percentage points
SENECA	87	36	41.38%	9	6	66.67%	(78)	(30)	25.29
SHELBY	102	17	16.67%	7	6	85.71%	(95)	(11)	69.05
STARK	1,684	566	33.61%	593	370	62.39%	(1,091)	(196)	28.78
SUMMIT	3,833	473	12.34%	471	286	60.72%	(3,362)	(187)	48.38
TRUMBULL	1,013	197	19.45%	324	208	64.20%	(689)	11	44.75
TUSCARAWAS	218	64	29.36%	47	31	65.96%	(171)	(33)	36.60
UNION	133	22	16.54%	44	20	45.45%	(89)	(2)	28.91
VAN WERT	54	6	11.11%	18	8	44.44%	(36)	2	33.33
VINTON	92	5	5.43%	*	*	*	*	*	*
WARREN	193	31	16.06%	55	41	74.55%	(138)	10	58.48
WASHINGTON	4	3	75.00%	1	0	0.00%	(3)	(3)	-75.00
WAYNE	247	128	51.82%	123	89	72.36%	(124)	(39)	20.54
WILLIAMS	188	34	18.09%	31	23	74.19%	(157)	(11)	56.11
WOOD	135	43	31.85%	13	10	76.92%	(122)	(33)	45.07
WYANDOT	28	6	21.43%	7	7	100.00%	(21)	1	78.57
ALL COUNTIES	46,523	12,143	26.10%	16,529	9,364	56.65%	(29,994)	(2,779)	30.55

Source: Policy Matters Ohio, based on data provided by Athens County Job and Family Services: "Work Activity: All Family Participation Rate." * Vinton, Ross and Hocking Counties do not have continuity in numbers because of consolidation into a regional service delivery configuration: "South Central."